Australian Journal of Biomedical Research
(eISSN 3083-4708)

Review Article

Evaluating the Role and Impact of International Collaboration and Partnerships on the Control, Prevention, and Elimination of Parasitic Diseases: A Global Health Perspective

Vivian Ukamaka Nwokedi[1], Olukunle O. Akanbi[2], Blessing Ayodele Ayo-ige[3], Rahmatallahi Ajike Aderounmu[4], Nimotalai Olusola Kassim[5], Oluchi Beatrice Aneke[6], Ogunmekan Inumimo[7], Olawale Johnson Ilesanmi[8], Tyoakosu Luper[9], Tobi David Farinde[10]

[1]*Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria*
[2]*Department of Psychology and Behavioral Sciences, National Louis University, Tampa, FL, USA*
[3]*School of public health, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA*
[4]*Department of Zoology, University of Lagos, Lagos State, Nigeria*
[5]*Department of Public Health, School of Health Sciences, University of New Haven, West Haven, CT, USA*
[6]*Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA, USA*
[7]*Department of Medicine, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Oyo, Nigeria*
[8]*Department of Pharmaceutical Services, Lagos State University Teaching Hospital Ikeja, Ikeja, Lagos, Nigeria*
[9]*Department of Surgery, University college Hospital, Ibadan, Oyo, Nigeria*
[10]*Department of Human Nutrition and Dietetic, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Oyo, Nigeria*

Received: Oct 07, 2025
Accepted: Nov 15, 2025

Corresponding author's email:
viviannwokedi250@gmail.com

Abstract:
Parasitic diseases continue to represent a significant public health challenge, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions, where they disproportionately affect low- and middle-income countries. Despite significant advancements in global collaborations, diseases such as malaria, schistosomiasis, and leishmaniasis continue to present substantial challenges. This study evaluates the role of international collaborations such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Global Fund, alongside bilateral and multilateral initiatives, including the U.S. President's Malaria Initiative (PMI) in managing, preventing, and eliminating parasitic diseases, highlighting the successes, challenges, and potential areas for improvement within these partnerships. Critical challenges to effective collaborations, such as political instability, economic disparities, cultural barriers, and resource inequities were identified. Additionally discussed are the necessity of developing local skills, promoting innovative financing methods for projects, and ensuring their sustainability. Moreover, the necessity of a unified global health governance framework and sustained commitments to ensure that multinational collaborations yield enduring impacts were emphasized. However, policy recommendations such as prioritizing equity, and addressing the root causes of parasite infections aimed at enhancing international cooperation should be embraced in order to achieve sustainable health improvements. The findings suggest that ongoing global cooperation, combined with improvements in technology, funding, and resource allocation, is crucial for the total elimination of parasitic diseases and the advancement of health equity worldwide.

Keywords: Parasitic Diseases; Global Health; Public Health; International Collaboration; Infectious Diseases; Disease Control; Health Equity; Neglected Tropical Diseases

Introduction

A significant number of individuals globally suffer from parasitic diseases, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions. Helminths, ectoparasites, and protozoan parasites are responsible for various parasitic diseases that negatively impact public health, societal well-being, and economic stability. Malaria, schistosomiasis, leishmaniasis, and lymphatic filariasis represent the most prevalent parasitic diseases, contributing significantly to morbidity and mortality rates [1, 2]. Annually, there are over 200 million reported cases of malaria, resulting in more than 400,000 deaths globally [3, 4]. The impact of these diseases imposes considerable strain on constrained healthcare resources, intensifies poverty, and obstructs socioeconomic progress in the communities that are affected.

Effective management of parasite infections necessitates international collaboration. Parasitic diseases pose complex challenges that require global collaboration for effective prevention, management, and sustainable health outcomes. The contributions of NGOs, along with bilateral and multilateral alliances and international health organizations, have led to the successful implementation of significant interventions. The reduction of malaria can be attributed to the substantial efforts of the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis, and Malaria, highlighting the importance of international collaboration in this endeavor [5]. The global initiative led by the World Health Organisation (WHO) to eradicate Lymphatic Filariasis serves as a clear illustration of the effectiveness of international collaboration in the fight against parasitic infections [6]. Despite attempts to address and control parasitic diseases, obstacles like political instabilities, economic and infrastructural factors, restricted healthcare access, inadequate diagnostic tools, and treatment resistance persist and impede progress across multiple sectors.

This study aimed to examine global collaboration and partnerships in the prevention, control, and eradication of parasitic diseases. It analyzes the successes and challenges of existing partnerships and programs while proposing improvements in coordination, resource mobilization, and local capacity to strengthen these collaborations. Considering the worldwide scope of these diseases, it is crucial for governments, businesses, and international partners to integrate their resources, expertise, and political will.

Methodology

This study employed a narrative review approach to evaluate the role and impact of international collaboration and partnerships on the control, prevention, and elimination of parasitic diseases. A comprehensive literature search was conducted (on September 5, 2025) in PubMed and Google Scholar databases to retrieve peer-reviewed research articles relevant to this study. Moreover, grey literature search was conducted by searching directly in Google search engines as well as different reputable organizations databases to retrieve reports, and documents from international organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and The Global Fund. The search terms included “parasitic diseases”, “international collaboration”, “global health initiatives”, and “disease control” The search focused on articles published in English, with a particular emphasis on studies from the past decade to ensure relevance to current global health initiatives. The narrative synthesis approach was used to integrate findings from various studies and provide an overview of the role of international collaboration in managing parasitic diseases. Data extraction focused on the types of collaborations, their impact on disease control, and the challenges faced by these initiatives.

Global Burden of Parasitic Diseases

Numerous studies indicate that parasitic infections impact factors that extend beyond the health of individuals. Their impact on societies and economies is significant, as they lead to increased healthcare costs, decreased productivity, and the emergence of long-term developmental issues. Developing effective strategies for managing and eradicating parasitic diseases requires a comprehensive understanding of the complexity and scale of the issue at hand [7]. Table 1 illustrates several significant examples that highlight the scale and complexity of this global issue.

Malaria is acknowledged as one of the most serious and lethal parasitic infections. The data indicates that the burden remains significantly high in the WHO African Region, which recorded nearly 263 million cases and 597,000 fatalities in 2023 [4]. This remains accurate despite the evolution of trends over the years. The disease significantly impacts pregnant women and children under five, who represent the highest risk group [4]. The issues of drug resistance and insecticide resistance exacerbate the current situation by diminishing the effectiveness of established control methods, such as bed nets and antimalarial medications

[4]. The battle against malaria illustrates that, despite the implementation of effective techniques, variations in biological and environmental factors can impede advancement. This illustrates the significance of maintaining vigilance and the capacity for adaptation.

Other parasitic diseases significantly affect millions globally. Schistosomiasis, commonly referred to as bilharzia, affects over 240 million individuals worldwide, primarily in Africa, as well as prevalent regions of South America and Southeast Asia [8]. Bowers et al. (2025) [9] and Rinaldo et al. (2021) [10] highlight that schistosomiasis frequently leads to long-term health consequences, such as organ damage and infertility, which can profoundly influence socioeconomic conditions over time. The examination of schistosomiasis and other parasitic diseases reveals a significant relationship with environmental factors, including climate and human activities, and this influences transmission dynamics [11]. Leishmaniasis is also one of parasitic diseases that can have a high impact on lives globally. There are between 700,000 and 1 million new cases of leishmaniasis each year and Asia, the Middle East, and Latin America are more affected. Leishmaniasis can present in several forms, ranging from disfiguring cutaneous lesions to the potentially fatal visceral variant [12].

The impact of parasitic diseases goes beyond direct distress on humans, it includes slowing down economic growth by making people less productive as a result of illness. The significant impacts on children, including developmental delays and increased mortality rates, jeopardize the welfare of future generations and perpetuate cycles of poverty [13]. There is a need for effective measures to address these issues and this should include not only effective treatments, disease surveillance and vector control, but also broader socioeconomic initiatives that emphasize sanitation, education, and improved access to healthcare.

Table 1: Global burden of major parasitic diseases

Parasitic Disease	Causative Agent(s)	Global Case Estimates	Most Common Regions Affected
Malaria	*Plasmodium* species	263 million cases in 2023 [3]	WHO African Region: Accounts for 94% of cases, with a disproportionately high burden [3]. Other regions: Southeast Asia, Eastern Mediterranean, Western Pacific, and the Americas [14].
Soil-transmitted helminth (STH) infections	*Ascaris lumbricoides*, hookworms, *Trichuris trichiura*	1.5 billion people are infected worldwide [15]	Sub-Saharan Africa, China, South America, and Asia: Highest prevalence, concentrated in poor and deprived communities [15, 16].
Schistosomiasis (Bilharzia)	*Schistosoma* species	Affects almost 240 million people worldwide [17]	Africa: Accounts for at least 90% of those requiring treatment, with endemic areas also in the Middle East, the Caribbean, and Brazil [17].
Lymphatic filariasis (Elephantiasis)	*Wuchereria bancrofti*, *Brugia malayi*, *B. timori*	51 million infections in 2018 [18]	Asia, Africa, Western Pacific, parts of the Caribbean and South America: Widely distributed in tropical and sub-tropical regions [18].
Giardiasis	*Giardia lamblia* (*G. duodenalis*)	Affects over 250 million people annually [19, 20].	Worldwide: Most prevalent in tropical and subtropical climates and developing countries with poor water quality [19, 20].
Chagas disease (American trypanosomiasis)	*Trypanosoma cruzi*	6–7 million people infected worldwide [21].	Latin America: Endemic, but global mobility has spread to Canada, the United States, Europe, and parts of Africa, Asia, and the Middle East [22].

Onchocerciasis (River blindness)	*Onchocerca volvulus*	249.5 million people require preventive treatment (2023 figures) [23].	Sub-Saharan Africa and Yemen: 99% of cases occur here [23]. Latin America: Smaller endemic foci, mainly along the border of Brazil and Venezuela [23].
Leishmaniasis	*Leishmania* species	An estimated 700,000 to 1 million new cases occur annually [12].	Worldwide: Found on every continent except Australia, with major hotspots in East Africa, the Indian subcontinent, and Brazil [24].
African trypanosomiasis (Sleeping sickness)	*Trypanosoma* parasites	Cases have fallen to historic low levels, with less than 1,000 cases reported in recent years [25].	Sub-Saharan Africa: Limited to specific endemic foci, with the *gambiense* form in west and central Africa and the *rhodesiense* form in east and southern Africa [25].

[This table provides an overview of the global burden of major parasitic diseases, including the number of cases, deaths, and regions most affected. The data highlights the significant impact of these diseases on public health and the need for sustained global efforts to control and eliminate them.]

Role of International Collaboration and Partnerships

The fight against parasitic diseases requires a comprehensive, coordinated approach that leverages the resources, expertise, and political will of various international organizations and governments. This section highlights the contributions and roles of key international health organizations and partnerships as shown in Figure 1, which have played crucial roles in combating parasitic infections.

World Health Organization (WHO)

The World Health Organization (WHO) is a central player in global health efforts, providing leadership, technical expertise, and setting international health standards. Through its various programs, such as the Global Malaria Program and the Department of Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases (NTDs), WHO has been instrumental in shaping global strategies for controlling and eliminating parasitic diseases [26, 27]. WHO coordinates international efforts, sets health priorities, and assists countries in developing policies tailored to local needs. The WHO's commitment to eliminating diseases like lymphatic filariasis, schistosomiasis, and malaria has led to the development of globally accepted guidelines for treatment and prevention [28]. Additionally, WHO supports surveillance, monitors disease trends, and ensures that global health responses are aligned with the most current research and technological innovations [29].

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) plays a significant role in providing technical support, conducting research, and building capacity in regions impacted by parasitic diseases. The CDC works alongside WHO and local health authorities to conduct epidemiological studies, develop diagnostic tools, and implement effective disease surveillance systems. Additionally, the CDC provides training for healthcare workers in endemic regions and contributes to research on new treatments, vaccines, and vector control strategies. By fostering partnerships with national health ministries, the CDC helps strengthen health systems, ensuring that control programs are sustainable and effective in the long term [30].

President's Malaria Initiative (PMI)

Launched in 2005, the President's Malaria Initiative (PMI) is a key U.S. government initiative aimed at reducing malaria-related morbidity and mortality in sub-Saharan Africa. Through PMI, the United States has provided extensive funding, technical assistance, and resources for malaria prevention and treatment [31]. PMI focuses on scaling up proven interventions such as insecticide-treated bed nets, rapid diagnostic tests, and antimalarial treatments, particularly in countries with high malaria burdens [31]. By working with local governments and international organizations, PMI has been instrumental in reducing malaria cases and deaths in several African countries. Its targeted interventions and commitment to improving local health infrastructure have made a significant impact on malaria control efforts [31].

The Global Fund

The Global Fund is a major international financing institution dedicated to combating three of the world's most devastating infectious diseases, including malaria. Established in 2002, the Global Fund has mobilized billions of dollars to support malaria control programs, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa,

where the burden of the disease is highest. The Global Fund supports the distribution of insecticide-treated bed nets, indoor residual spraying, and the provision of antimalarial drugs, among other interventions. Its model of pooling resources from governments, private sector donors, and philanthropies has been pivotal in ensuring that interventions reach the most vulnerable populations. The Global Fund's innovative financing mechanisms and strong monitoring systems help ensure the accountability and effectiveness of funded programs [32].

Department for International Development (DFID)

The UK's Department for International Development (DFID) has long been a key player in the global health landscape, contributing to efforts aimed at controlling parasitic diseases through both direct funding and technical assistance. DFID has been particularly involved in addressing neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) such as schistosomiasis and lymphatic filariasis [33]. Through programs focused on mass drug administration, DFID has supported large-scale efforts to reduce the prevalence of these diseases in endemic regions. DFID has also partnered with international organizations such as WHO and the Global Fund to ensure that interventions are integrated into broader development goals, including improving sanitation, education, and healthcare infrastructure [33].

Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation

The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation is one of the world's largest private philanthropic organizations, and its contributions to global health have been transformative. The Gates Foundation has made substantial investments in the development of new drugs, vaccines, and diagnostic tools for parasitic diseases. It has supported the development of the RTS,S malaria vaccine, the world's first malaria vaccine, and continues to fund research into innovative ways to control diseases such as schistosomiasis and leishmaniasis. The foundation also plays a pivotal role in fostering public-private partnerships, leveraging the expertise and resources of the private sector to improve access to medicines and health technologies in resource-poor settings [34].

Other Key Contributors

In addition to these major organizations, numerous NGOs and private sector partners play vital roles in the global fight against parasitic diseases. Organizations such as Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), the Malaria Consortium, and PATH have worked in the field to deliver essential treatments and provide healthcare services in hard-to-reach areas. These NGOs often work in close partnership with local governments, WHO, and international donors to ensure that interventions are tailored to the specific needs of the communities they serve. The private sector, including pharmaceutical companies like GlaxoSmithKline and Novartis, also contributes by developing and distributing essential medicines and vaccines for parasitic diseases. Their involvement in global health initiatives helps to ensure that treatments are not only effective but also affordable and accessible.

In addition to multilateral organizations and NGOs, bilateral partnerships between countries have played a key role in the fight against parasitic diseases. For example, the United States has partnered with African countries through PMI, while regional cooperation, such as the African Leaders Malaria Alliance (ALMA), has also proven effective in harmonizing efforts across countries to combat malaria. These bilateral and regional partnerships are essential for ensuring that countries work together to address shared health challenges, such as cross-border disease transmission and the development of drug-resistant parasites [35].

Ultimately, while organizations like the WHO, CDC, and The Global Fund play crucial roles in global health initiatives, each has its strengths and limitations. For instance, the WHO's global mandate and technical expertise enable it to set standards and guidelines for disease control. However, its broad scope can sometimes limit its ability to respond rapidly to emerging health issues. The CDC's strength lies in its research capabilities and technical support, but its influence is often constrained by funding limitations and geopolitical considerations. The Global Fund's innovative financing mechanisms have been pivotal in mobilizing resources for disease control programs, but the reliance on donor funding can create uncertainty and sustainability challenges. A comparative analysis of these organizations highlights the need for coordinated efforts and leveraging each organization's strengths to maximize impact.

Challenges to Effective International Collaboration in Combating Parasitic Diseases

There has been a significant amount of progress made in the fight against parasitic diseases as a result of international cooperation; yet, there are persistent challenging factors affecting control and elimination at different phases of collaboration as highlighted in Table 2. Global health collaborations frequently encounter challenges in the areas of funding, coordination, inequity, political dynamics, and other factors that have the potential to undermine even the most robust initiatives [36]. These issues are not only logistical in

nature; rather, there are those relating to health systems management at various levels.

One of the most significant and ongoing challenges is the presence of political instability and shifting priorities. The presence of political instability and corruption might make it challenging for global health projects to be effective in a number of countries particularly the low and middle income countries [37]. For example, the conflict in Sudan has severely impacted malaria control programs, leading to resurgence of cases and complicating efforts to distribute bed nets and provide treatment [38]. Similarly, economic disparities between countries can affect the sustainability of health initiatives. In response to critical problems such as economic downturns, security threats, or public health emergencies like COVID-19, national priorities regularly shift. This shift in priorities may result in a diminished attention on the management of parasitic diseases [39]. Moreover, there are also cases of geopolitical disputes and disagreements between donor nations or between governments and international organizations and these affect the distribution of resources and misalign health initiatives. This can lead to fragmented health policies that either contradict or fail to align with global objectives [40, 41].

Additionally, there are also economic challenges. A significant number of nations that are afflicted by parasitic diseases face challenges in acquiring the requisite resources that are necessary to carry out and maintain comprehensive interventions. As a result, these nations typically rely on financial support from outside sources [42]. Although funding can be quite substantial in certain areas, it is frequently not dispersed in an equitable manner as certain regions with high incidence may not receive sufficient financial support [43]. Due to the unequal distribution of resources, the disease burden continues to worsen, which in turn hinders efforts to eradication.

Notwithstanding, cultural barriers are one of the challenges that hinder international collaboration. Cultural concepts and practices have a major impact on health behaviors, by either facilitating or impeding the adoption of preventative measures and opinions from collaborators from different cultural backgrounds [44]. For example there are misconceptions regarding the safety or efficiency of interventions such as insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) for malaria [45]. The influence of cultural beliefs on the understanding of disease causation and the significance of traditional medicine, can have a significant impact on the effectiveness of programs. There are situations in which external collaborators may fail to properly connect with local communities or respect cultural practices, which can result in distrust and a reduction in the efficiency of health programs [46]. A strong emphasis on cultural sensitivity and proactive community engagement is required for effective collaboration. This is necessary in order to guarantee that interventions will be accepted and maintained throughout the course of time.

Despite the availability of effective resources, challenges persist in terms of accessibility and equity. Even in situations where treatments are available, marginalized and isolated communities frequently face major difficulties accessing them. These challenges can include geographical isolation, restricted transportation alternatives, and an inadequate healthcare infrastructure [7]. The uneven allocation of resources, which often favors wealthier regions or countries, exacerbates this issue significantly. This disparity in access and resource allocation undermines the fundamental principle of inclusion and hampers progress in the fight against diseases. [47].

Another recurrent difficulty is the lack of effective coordination among organizations. Many global health organizations that are active in this field—ranging from the World Health Organization and the Global Fund to a large number of non-governmental organizations—frequently operate in a disconnected manner, which leads to initiatives that overlap and miss opportunities for collaboration [40]. When organizations do not have clearly defined responsibilities and integrated plans, they run the risk of channeling resources to already addressed issues and pushing agendas that are in contradiction with one another [48].

Moreover, ensuring the sustained viability of programs presents a significant challenge that needs to be addressed. Numerous projects are dependent on short-term financial support from external donors; nevertheless, when this support is terminated or when donor priorities shift, it is possible that programs will not be able to continue operating [37]. For example, the failure of the Global Malaria Eradication Program (GMEP) during the 1950s and 1960s [49]. There were similar challenges that were encountered in the Onchocerciasis Control Program in a number of different countries of West Africa including sporadic funding and weak coordination [50]. Therefore, to ensure continuity in progress, countries should take charge by investing and developing local ability.

Table 2: Challenges to effective international collaboration

Phase in the collaboration	Description	Specific Challenges/Barriers
Input: Donors & International Partners	The source of funding and expertise, involving global organizations (WHO, CDC), multilateral funds (The Global Fund), bilateral agencies, and NGOs.	Unstable Funding: Insufficient or unreliable financial commitments from donors, which can delay or halt long-term programs.
Process: Strategy and Planning	The collaborative development of joint strategies, including program design and intervention selection, tailored for endemic regions.	Lack of Coordination: Poor communication and a lack of harmonized strategies among numerous international organizations
Process: Resource Flow	The central pathway represents the movement of funds, technical expertise, and interventions towards affected populations.	Political Instability/Corruption: Government instability or corruption in recipient countries can disrupt the effective channeling of resources.
Process: Intervention Delivery	The stage where planned health interventions are implemented on the ground in endemic communities.	Cultural and Local Context Barriers: Community distrust, resistance to interventions, or misalignment of programs with local practices.
Outcome: Effective Control Efforts	The intended result of collaboration: reduced parasitic disease prevalence and improved health outcomes.	Inequitable Access: The most vulnerable and geographically isolated populations may be left behind, worsening health disparities.
External Factors (Overarching Influences)	External elements that can affect all stages of collaboration	Climate Change: Shifting environmental patterns that alter disease transmission and introduce parasites into new areas. Drug and Insecticide Resistance: The continuous evolution of parasites and vectors that undermines the effectiveness of interventions.

Strategies for Strengthening International Collaboration

International cooperation is crucial for the management, prevention, and eradication of parasitic diseases. Establishing more robust multinational coalitions that promote effective governance, enhance coordination, develop local capacities, and encourage innovative financing for projects is crucial to bolster international collaboration, thereby ensuring the success of initiatives aimed at addressing parasitic diseases.

Governance and Coordination Mechanisms

For multinational collaborations to be successful, there is a need for a strong governance structure and effective coordination at all levels of government. The establishment of effective governance structures is essential due to the extensive occurrence of these diseases and their significant effects on a vast population. In these structures, defined roles, duties, and protocols for decision-making should be outlined. The creation of mechanisms that are both transparent and accountable, and that are able to promptly address growing difficulties, such as medication resistance and new outbreaks, is necessary for effective governance. The World Health Organization (WHO) and the Global Fund are two examples of multinational organizations that have developed complete coordination systems in order to improve governance. The implementation of these systems guarantees the effective exploitation of resources and makes it easier to coordinate efforts across a variety of sectors [51].

The structures of coordination should be able to facilitate effective collaboration across a variety of fields, including the fields of education, infrastructure,

and health care. A variety of social factors, including poverty, inadequate nutrition, and poor sanitation, significantly influence health outcomes, with parasitic infections being associated with these conditions. Through effective governance and improved coordination, it is possible to formulate policies that are more comprehensive and cohesive, aimed at tackling the root causes of parasite infections and ultimately enhancing health outcomes[52]. The Global Health Sector Strategy for Neglected Tropical Diseases, as outlined by the World Health Organization, highlights the necessity of addressing the issue through a coordinated and multi-sectoral approach. This indicates that enhancements are necessary for health systems alongside initiatives related to water, sanitation, and education [53].

Enhancing Local Capacity While Fostering International Support

Improving the capabilities of local organizations is absolutely necessary in order to enhance international relationships. Despite the fact that global partners contribute financial and technical support, the capacity of local health systems to implement and maintain these programs is a critical factor that greatly influences the success of disease control strategies. One of the most important strategies for increasing the capacity of the local community is to invest in people. Educating healthcare professionals, providing suitable infrastructure, and ensuring that local populations are involved in the design and execution of health initiatives are all necessary steps in this process [54]. It is possible to ensure that interventions are carried out successfully and continue to be beneficial long after the engagement of foreign partners has ended by providing training to local workers on how to recognize, treat, and prevent parasite illnesses.

In addition, it is essential to encourage local leadership in health programs in order to guarantee the long-term viability of efforts. By providing assistance to local governments, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and community groups, international partners may help these entities cultivate a feeling of ownership and responsibility, which in turn increases the possibility of attaining positive results. The President's Malaria Initiative (PMI) of the United States and similar initiatives highlight the importance of collaboration with local governments to enhance the chances that national health institutions will assume responsibility for malaria control efforts [31]. The collaborations have led to the development of individualized methods that take into account the issues that are specific to the region. These strategies take into account cultural conceptions of health practices as well as geographical barriers. To ensure that health interventions align with cultural norms and gain broad acceptance, it is crucial to involve community members in the process.

Innovative Financing and Resource Mobilization

In order to ensure the long-term viability and growth of initiatives aimed at preventing parasitic diseases, it is essential to possess techniques that are capable of effectively mobilizing financial resources [55]. Conventional funding sources, such as government assistance and contributions from charitable organizations, frequently fail to meet the ever-increasing requirements of disease management initiatives. This observation holds significant weight, particularly as the population residing in regions affected by the disease continues to rise. The Global Health creative Technology Fund (GHIT Fund) is a good example of how global collaborations should investigate different funding approaches. These mechanisms include public-private partnerships, impact investment, and creative financial techniques. With the goal of advancing health breakthroughs, this effort encourages collaboration between various entities, including foundations, governments, and the corporate sector [56].

The utilization of resources and expertise from the private sector has been shown to be significantly more effective when it is accomplished through collaborative efforts between the public and private sectors. When it comes to the production and distribution of medicines and vaccines that are intended to prevent parasitic illnesses, pharmaceutical corporations play a significant role. When government agencies, non-profit organizations, and private sector businesses work together to develop collaborative efforts, this helps to ensure that treatments continue to be accessible, inexpensive, and provided in an equitable manner. For example, a malaria vaccine (RTS,S/AS01) was developed through a collaborative effort between the European Union, the World Health Organization, and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation [57].

In addition, the utilization of local resources through the utilization of novel financial mechanisms such as co-financing partnerships and tailored bonds can provide an additional boost to global health projects. In the context of the financial system, health bonds are a method in which private investors provide the initial capital for health initiatives, with the expectation of getting return based upon the accomplishment of certain health goals. There is a possibility that this strategy will result in increased funding for programs that are focused at eliminating malaria [58]. The techniques of financing that have been discussed have the potential to generate novel routes

for funding, lessen the dependence of disease control programs on donors from the outside, and raise the level of sustainability that these programs have over the course of time.

Future Directions

The emergence of new trends and the persistence of ongoing issues significantly influence the global control of parasitic diseases. The intricate health risks associated with parasite diseases necessitate that future global collaborations remain adaptable, innovative, and dedicated. In order to combat parasitic illnesses effectively, it is essential for future global health alliances to adapt to emerging technologies, shifts in political landscapes, and the pressing concerns of equality and sustainability. Innovative technologies combined with sustained governmental commitments are poised to address these challenges effectively.

The integration of technology and innovative concepts is a significant trend in global health collaborations. Innovative approaches to diagnosis, data collection, and disease surveillance have the potential to transform our methods for monitoring, regulating, and eliminating parasitic diseases. Mobile technology is enhancing healthcare in remote or underdeveloped areas. By leveraging real-time data from digital platforms, health workers can enhance their ability to monitor outbreaks, assess the progression of diseases, and identify populations at higher risk of illness [59]. AI and machine learning have the potential to enhance the quality of diagnostic tests and improve the accuracy of disease spread predictions, thereby optimizing intervention strategies [60]. Incorporating GIS into disease mapping and surveillance enhances the precision of interventions, particularly for malaria and schistosomiasis, as spatial variables significantly influence disease transmission patterns.

It is essential to establish the necessary infrastructure and resources to facilitate the widespread application of these technologies in global health initiatives. In numerous locations with limited resources, the digital divide presents significant challenges for equitable access to emerging technologies. For technology to achieve its maximum effectiveness, it must be affordable, accessible, and customized to meet the specific requirements of local communities. To effectively manage its size and complexity, technology must be utilized alongside programs that enhance capacity, educate local health workers, and fortify healthcare systems.

Innovation involves the development of new technologies and the implementation of unique approaches for securing funding and mobilizing resources. Over the past decade, impact investing, social impact bonds, and public-private partnerships have emerged as prominent mechanisms for financing global health programs. These measures can facilitate funding for the prevention of parasitic diseases, regardless of economic conditions. The Global Fund has successfully identified innovative funding strategies, engaged the private sector in global health initiatives, and utilized financial markets to generate revenue [61]. The trajectory of partnerships will hinge on the enhancement of these models and their effectiveness in securing equitable and sustainable funding for marginalized populations.

Considering these developments, it is important to recognize that considerable challenges persist, particularly regarding the attainment of long-term sustainability. Parasitic illnesses disproportionately affect individuals in lower socioeconomic conditions. Addressing health disparities requires a thorough examination of the underlying issues, including poverty, inadequate healthcare, and substandard living conditions. Future policy suggestions must address both the technical methods for disease control and the social determinants that influence health outcomes. Successful partnerships require a strategic integration of disease control efforts with objectives focused on sanitation, access to clean water, and educational initiatives [62, 63]. This approach, engaging individuals from various sectors, seeks to enhance the resilience of parasitic disease prevention programs against external disruptions such as political instability and economic crises. This will contribute to their extended durability.

Policy Recommendations

A vital policy recommendation for enhancing international collaboration in the management of parasitic diseases is the necessity for a more integrated global health governance structure. The WHO and the Global Fund have provided significant assistance; however, the absence of coordination among international organizations has occasionally resulted in fragmented projects and inefficiencies. A collaborative strategy, where governments, international organizations, NGOs, and the corporate sector align their resources, research, and activities, will significantly enhance the effectiveness of global health interventions. This framework requires well-defined communication channels, common objectives, and

synchronized funding approaches. Moreover, enhancing governance frameworks at the national level would guarantee that interventions are customized to address local requirements and that nations possess the capability to assume responsibility for disease control initiatives [64].

Future international partnerships must prioritize the sustained health and developmental requirements of endemic nations. This approach ensures that health systems are adequately prepared to manage parasitic diseases once external funding ceases. For health programs to endure, it is essential that they are embraced by the local population. It is essential for countries to prioritize investment in their health systems, as this reflects a collective responsibility for global health outcomes. Collaborations that extend beyond the traditional donor-recipient framework to incorporate elements such as joint research, technology transfer, and information sharing will contribute to the sustainability and enhanced impact of global health initiatives.

Conclusion

Parasitic diseases represent a considerable challenge to public health, especially in low- and middle-income nations. Global cooperation is crucial in addressing these diseases, as no nation or organization can confront parasitic diseases alone. International health initiatives, including the WHO, Global Fund, and partnerships between public and private sectors, have been instrumental in the management and eradication of parasitic diseases. Nonetheless, obstacles remain, including those related to politics, economics, culture, equity, access, and ecology. Consequently, it is crucial for the global health community to foster ongoing, equitable collaborations that prioritize the management of parasitic diseases and tackle the socio-economic elements that facilitate their proliferation. Strengthening health systems in endemic regions is crucial, and it is important to investigate innovative financial mechanisms to enhance global health initiatives. In summary, tackling parasitic diseases and improving global health requires joint initiatives, financial commitment, and a shared commitment to health equity. Prompt action is crucial, and it is vital for all stakeholders in global health to participate actively.

Acknowledgement

Competing Interests: All the authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable.

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Availability of data and material: All the resources consulted in the review are provided in the reference section.

Funding: No funding of any kind was received.

Authors' Contributions: This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

1. Pisarski K. The global burden of disease of zoonotic parasitic diseases: top 5 contenders for priority consideration. Trop Med Infect Dis. 2019;4(1):44. https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed4010044
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Parasites. CDC twenty four seven. Saving Lives, Protecting People; 2024 Nov 14. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/about/index.html#:~:text=Parasitic%20Infections,burden%20placed%20on%20entire%20countries.
3. World Health Organization. Malaria; 2024 Dec 11. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/malaria
4. World Health Organization. World Malaria Report 2020: 20 years of global progress & challenges. 2020 Nov 30. Available from: https://www.who.int/teams/global-malaria-programme/reports/world-malaria-report-2020
5. The Global Fund, Gavi, World Health Organization. Impactful Partnership in Action: Global Fund, Gavi and WHO: The Global Fund; 2024 Dec. Available from: https://www.theglobalfund.org/media/15327/partnership_impactful-partnership-gavi-global-fund-who_report_en.pdf

6. World Health Organization. Global programme to eliminate lymphatic filariasis: progress report, 2023. Wkly Epidemiol Rec. 2024;99(40):565-76. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-wer-9940-565-576
7. Isaev V. The Global Burden of Parasitic Diseases: Prevalence, Mortality and Economic Costs. Bio Med. 2024;16(12):760. Available from: https://www.walshmedicalmedia.com/open-access/the-global-burden-of-parasitic-diseases-prevalence-mortality-and-economic-costs.pdf
8. Abou-El-Naga IF. Demographic, socioeconomic and environmental changes affecting circulation of neglected tropical diseases in Egypt. Asian Pac J Trop Med. 2015;8(11):881-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apjtm.2015.10.015
9. Bowers SF, Sonnet F, Downs JA. Schistosomiasis: a neglected cause of infertility in females and males. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2025;Publish Ahead of Print. https://doi.org/10.1097/qco.0000000000001127
10. Rinaldo D, Perez-Saez J, Vounatsou P, Utzinger J, Arcand JL. The economic impact of schistosomiasis. Infect Dis Poverty. 2021;10(1):134. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-021-00919-z
11. Liang S, Seto EY, Remais JV, Zhong B, Yang C, Hubbard A, et al. Environmental effects on parasitic disease transmission exemplified by schistosomiasis in western China. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104(17):7110-5. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0701878104
12. World Health Organization. Leishmaniasis; 2023 Jan 12. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/leishmaniasis
13. Andrade MV, Noronha K, Diniz BP, Guedes G, Carvalho LR, Silva VA, et al. The economic burden of malaria: a systematic review. Malar J. 2022;21(1):283. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-022-04303-6
14. World Health Organization. Malaria: World malaria report 2019. Available from: https://www.who.int/teams/global-malaria-programme/reports/world-malaria-report-2019
15. World Health Organization. Soil-transmitted helminth infections; 2023 Jan 18. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/soil-transmitted-helminth-infections
16. Chen J, Gong Y, Chen Q, Li S, Zhou Y. Global burden of soil-transmitted helminth infections, 1990-2021. Infect Dis Poverty. 2024;13(05):68-77. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-024-01238-9
17. World Health Organization. Schistosomiasis; 2023 Feb 1. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/schistosomiasis
18. World Health Organization. Lymphatic filariasis; 2025 Jan 5. Available from: https://www.who.int/health-topics/lymphatic-filariasis
19. Tawana M, Onyiche TE, Ramatla T, Thekisoe O. A 'One Health'perspective of Africa-wide distribution and prevalence of Giardia species in humans, animals and waterbodies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Parasitology. 2023;150(9):769-80. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0031182023000513
20. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Giardiasis. Saving Lives, Protecting People; 2023 Sep 26. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/giardia/index.html
21. World Health Organization. Chagas disease (also known as American trypanosomiasis); 2025 Apr 2. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/chagas-disease-(american-trypanosomiasis)
22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. About Chagas Disease. Saving Lives, Protecting People; 2025 Aug 25. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/chagas/gen_info/detailed.html
23. World Health Organization. Onchocerciasis; 2025 Jan 29. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/onchocerciasis
24. Inceboz T. Epidemiology and ecology of leishmaniasis. Curr Top Negl Trop Dis. 2019;10. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.86359

25. World Health Organization. Trypanosomiasis, human African (sleeping sickness); 2023 May 2. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/trypanosomiasis-human-african-(sleeping-sickness)
26. World Health Organization. Defeating neglected tropical diseases through enhanced collaboration; 2024. Available from: https://www.who.int/teams/control-of-neglected-tropical-diseases/collaboration
27. World Health Organization. Partnership established to advance WHO's road map for neglected tropical diseases 2021–2030; 2024 Dec 11. Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/11-12-2024-partnership-established-to-advance-who-s-road-map-for-neglected-tropical-diseases-2021-2030
28. World Health Organization. Global programme to eliminate lymphatic filariasis: progress report, 2023. Wkly Epidemiol Rec. 2024;99(40):565–76.
29. World Health Organization. Health emergencies. Available from: https://www.who.int/our-work/health-emergencies
30. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Global malaria activities. 2024 Apr 04. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/malaria/php/public-health-strategy/global-activities.html
31. U.S. President's Malaria Initiative. 2020 annual report. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Agency for International Development; 2020. Available from: https://reliefweb.int/report/world/president-s-malaria-initiative-14th-annual-report-congress-april-2020
32. The Global Fund. About the Global Fund. 2025, September 10. Available from: https://www.theglobalfund.org/en/about-the-global-fund/#:~:text=Our%20Mission,overcome%20the%20deadliest%20disease%20threats.
33. Watts C. Neglected tropical diseases: a DFID perspective. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11(4):e0005492. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005492
34. Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. Our role. Available from: https://www.gatesfoundation.org/about/our-role
35. Sikaala CH, Dlamini B, Lungu A, Fakudze P, Chisenga M, Siame CL, et al. Malaria elimination and the need for intensive inter-country cooperation: a critical evaluation of regional technical co-operation in Southern Africa. Malar J. 2024;23(1):62. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-024-04891-5
36. Nit M. The role of international cooperation in controlling infectious diseases. J Infect Dis Med Microbiol. 2024;8(3):203. Available from: https://www.alliedacademies.org/articles/the-role-of-international-cooperation-in-controlling-infectious-diseases.pdf
37. Parkhurst J, Ghilardi L, Webster J, Snow RW, Lynch CA. Competing interests, clashing ideas and institutionalizing influence: insights into the political economy of malaria control from seven African countries. Health Policy Plan. 2021;36(1):35-44. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czaa166
38. UNICEF. Ongoing conflict disrupts efforts to control malaria and threatens health of millions of children in Sudan. 2025 Apr 25. Available from: https://www.unicef.org/sudan/press-releases/ongoing-conflict-disrupts-efforts-control-malaria-and-threatens-health-millions
39. Ung L, Stothard JR, Phalkey R, Azman AS, Chodosh J, Hanage WP, et al. Towards global control of parasitic diseases in the Covid-19 era: One Health and the future of multisectoral global health governance. Adv Parasitol. 2021;114:1-26. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2021.08.007
40. Kaminsky R, Mäser P. Global impact of parasitic infections and the importance of parasite control. Front Parasitol. 2025;4:1546195. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpara.2025.1546195
41. Parker M, Allen T. De-politicizing parasites: reflections on attempts to control the control of neglected tropical diseases. Med Anthropol. 2014;33(3):223-39. https://doi.org/10.1080/01459740.2013.831414
42. Brown GW, Rhodes N, Tacheva B, Loewenson R, Shahid M, Poitier F. Challenges in

international health financing and implications for the new pandemic fund. Global Health. 2023;19(1):97. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-023-00999-6

43. Hanson K, Brikci N, Erlangga D, Alebachew A, De Allegri M, Balabanova D, et al. The Lancet Global Health Commission on financing primary health care: putting people at the centre. Lancet Glob Health. 2022;10(5):e715-72. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2214-109x(22)00005-5
44. Onwuliri CO, Anosike JC, Oguoma C, Onwuliri VA, Nwoke BE, Dozie IN, et al. The Impact of Cultural Behaviours, Local Beliefs, and Practices on Emerging Parasitic Diseases in Tropical Africa. Negro Educ Rev. 2005;56(4):311. Available from: https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ751093
45. Ajegena BK, Oti VB. The challenges of using insecticides treated nets (ITNs) in curbing malaria in Nigeria: a 2000–2018 systematic review. J Infect Dis Epidemiol. 2020;6(4). https://doi.org/10.23937/2474-3658%2F1510140
46. Aubel J, Chibanda D. The neglect of culture in global health research and practice. BMJ Glob Health. 2022;7(9). https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2022-009914
47. Buzeti T, Madureira Lima J, Yang L, Brown C. Leaving no one behind: health equity as a catalyst for the sustainable development goals. Eur J Public Health. 2020;30(Supplement_1):i24-7. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckaa033
48. Gustavsen K, Sodahlon Y, Bush S. Cross-border collaboration for neglected tropical disease efforts—Lessons learned from onchocerciasis control and elimination in the Mano River Union (West Africa). Global Health. 2016;12(1):44. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-016-0185-5
49. Nájera JA, González-Silva M, Alonso PL. Some lessons for the future from the Global Malaria Eradication Programme (1955–1969). PLoS Med. 2011;8(1):e1000412. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000412
50. Boussinesq M. Onchocerciasis control: biological research is still needed. Parasite. 2008;15(3):510-4. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2008153510
51. The Global Fund. Information Note Malaria: The Global Fund; 2022 Jul 29. Available from: https://www.theglobalfund.org/media/4768/core_malaria_infonote_en.pdf
52. van Dale D, Lemmens L, Hendriksen M, Savolainen N, Nagy P, Marosi E, et al. Recommendations for effective intersectoral collaboration in health promotion interventions: results from joint action CHRODIS-PLUS work package 5 activities. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(18):6474. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186474
53. World Health Organization. Ending the neglect to attain the sustainable development goals: a road map for neglected tropical diseases 2021–2030: overview. 2020.
54. Al-Worafi YM. Healthcare Services in Developing Countries. In: Handbook of medical and health sciences in developing countries: Education, practice, and research. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2023. p. 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-74786-2_216-1
55. Le Gargasson JB, Salomé B. The role of innovative financing mechanisms for health. World Health Report. 2010. Available from: https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/health-financing/technical-briefs-background-papers/innovativebp12final.pdf
56. GHIT Fund. Annual report 2025. Available from: https://www.ghitfund.org/assets/othermedia/annual_report_2024_eng.pdf
57. Laurens MB. RTS, S/AS01 vaccine (Mosquirix™): an overview. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2020;16(3):480-9. https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2019.1669415
58. Oroxom R, Glassman A, McDonald L. Structuring and funding development impact bonds for health: nine lessons from Cameroon and beyond. Center for Global Development. 2018 Jan 26. Available from: https://www.cgdev.org/sites/default/file

s/structuring-funding-development-impact-bonds-for-health-nine-lessons.pdf
59. de Souza Rodrigues D. Digital Health Framework to Combating Neglected Tropical Diseases [Doctoral dissertation]. Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro; 2024. Available from: https://w1files.solucaoatrio.net.br/atrio/ufrj-pep_upl//THESIS/10004423/douglas_de_souza_rodrigues_20241016110609186.pdf
60. Srivastava V, Kumar R, Wani MY, Robinson K, Ahmad A. Role of artificial intelligence in early diagnosis and treatment of infectious diseases. Infect Dis (Lond). 2025;57(1):1-26. https://doi.org/10.1080/23744235.2024.2425712
61. Danasekaran R. One health: a holistic approach to tackling global health issues. Indian J Community Med. 2024;49(2):260-3. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijcm.ijcm_521_23
62. World Health Organization. Water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH). Available from: https://www.who.int/health-topics/water-sanitation-and-hygiene-wash#tab=tab_1
63. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Global Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH). 2025 Jul 29. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/global-water-sanitation-hygiene/about/index.html
64. Peterson EA, Lange JE, Buckley GJ, editors. Investing in global health systems: sustaining gains, transforming lives. Available from: https://www.kumc.edu/documents/international/eBOOK-%20Investing%20in%20Global%20Health%20System-Sustaining%20Gains%20Transforming%20Lives.pdf